

**TRANSCRIPT OF PAUL VAN COTT VOICE MESSAGES TO MATT NORFOLK
ON TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 29.**

1. Paul Van Cott voice message for Matt Norfolk, September 29, 11:10 a.m.

Matt, ah, Paul Van Cott calling. Got your motion...ah, like to talk with you about it. Um, I don't think you have any...foundation. I frankly think it's kind of a waste of, ah, the judge's time. Um...ah, what's-his-name, Cecil Wray never saw that letter. Came here to the agency. It's in the agency's file. Wouldn't [make in it? mumbled], it [wasn't? mumbled] an appropriate part of the record and the Council wasn't a party or hadn't asked for party status. Ah...so that's that. All the other stuff...all...ah, were communications with witnesses or complainants, potential witnesses or complainants, that occurred prior to this proceeding having even been commenced, and even if, ah... they were communications that occurred after the proceeding were commenced, they are not, ah, people who are subject to the ex parte rule. They're people who we deal with all the time, we're always dealing with complainants and witnesses. So, I know you're just trying to, ah...I don't know what you're trying to do. So, let's talk about this, cuz, you know, seems like it's, ah...not a good use of, um, your time, your client's money, or, um, you know the judge's time, certainly. Thanks. Give me a call, 891-4050. Bye.

2. Paul Van Cott voice message for Matt Norfolk, September 29, 3:33 p.m.

Matt...it's Paul Van Cott. We're getting press inquiries...ah...concerning your...ah...motion...um, and I'm...really, ah, very concerned about what you're doing, um, and what it means in terms of, ah...ah, where this case is heading, and, and our long term relationship, so, I'd appreciate a call at some point. Um...I, I just think it's, um...this is, ah...not, not a good strategy, ah, towards resolution of this case, or towards ah...um, you know, any sort of, ah...working relationship, um, and I had expected better of you. So, ah, give me a call when you get a chance. Thank you.

3. Paul Van Cott voice message for Matt Norfolk, September 29, 5:11 p.m.

My point, Matt -- this is Paul Van Cott by the way -- is that the issues you raise in your motion are issues that could have easily been resolved, ah, through discussion...um, as you can see from my affidavit. Um...I...the bottom line is...this case is either going to be decided preferably by settlement...which, I think, you know, we've bent over backwards for your guy...or, it's going to be decided on the merits, and what's offensive to me ...is that...this...sort of, ah...hyperbolic motion that you've made, which is now bouncing around the internet apparently, and it is...ah...you know, being turned into press inquiries from, ah, at least from Denton Publications at this point, you know...that's a hell

of a way to do business. And...ah...you know, it's not anything close to how I've tried to conduct myself in this and how I thought you were...ah, you know, working in good faith, ah, towards me. So, I don't take it personal, but it's just not...um, a way of doing business that, um...ah, I consider to be, you know, a way that, that folks treat each other up here. So...um...in any event, um, I'll look forward to talking with you and the judge...and, to her decision on the motion...um, and, ah...you know, again...our preference remains to settle the thing...and, ah...you know, just can't believe that you're guy is so wrapped up in trying to make a fuss that he can't bring himself to, ah...you know, compromise just a little bit. ...So. Ah, talk to you tomorrow. Bye.