

In my opinion -

In which we attempt an accurate account of the hearing before Supreme Court, Appellate Division, Third Judicial Department, on May 27th, 2009, and offer thoughts about our government.

Note: two members of the Fourth Estate offered this taped account. We did not accept at first. In due course, with repetitive listening, we will perfect the printed record - and believe we will have most of it. What is printed here is incomplete.

We, The People, have a right to know. What happens in open court in The United States of America is our business. There is no record of the hearing in Albany. We find this absurd. Frankly, we find Albany absurd if not insane these days.

Assistant Solicitor General Julie Sheridan may have lied, and her argument is a transparent mess of fabrications and contradictions with a coda that was not rebutted. We wrote last. Why were we not asked to speak last?

ASG Julie Sheridan believed there would be no record, no rebuttal.

ASG Julie Sheridan raised that which is covered in <http://www.sblewis.com> under North Country Shakedown, see 1998-99. That record is formed from documents that accompanied a first onslaught from APA. The farm did not

give. Sandy and Barbara gave. The rest of what Sheridan gave the Court was simply untrue.

AAG Robert M. Rosenthal Esq., claimed our personal \$50,000 gift as a settlement or the like as part of closure to the falsely inspired criminalized shake down by the AG's office in Albany. We cover this.

Julie Sheridan gives life to the 1999 lie in her final statement to the Court. She offered no fact, and has no fact. Nor was her statement in the record. APA and AG are exposed again, now.

As we perfect this record from notes and our trained ear, we will link to court papers the best we can.

Farmers cannot operate under such pressure. APA says it supports farming in the park. APA wants a playground. APA and its supporters hide within the 501(c) (3). Neither wants the self-sustaining farm.

As the first question from the bench put it, is this about the law? This case is about the law. Hon. Judge Richard B. Meyer dealt with the law.

These people have no shame. They are wrong on the law. We do not know if either matters. We will perfect this record - here.